



Old King's Highway Regional Historic District Commission
P.O. Box 2187, Hyannis, Mass. 02601 Telephone 508-775-5793

MARJORIE LANDERS

v.

Decision #93-2

OLD KING'S HIGHWAY REGIONAL HISTORIC
DISTRICT COMMITTEE FOR THE TOWN OF
SANDWICH

On Tuesday, February 23, 1993 the Commission held a hearing on Appeal #93-2 filed by Marjorie Landers seeking review of a decision by the Sandwich Historic District Committee which had approved a Certificate of Appropriateness to Anthony J. Tamulis for a stone wall to be located at 2 Surrey Lane, Sandwich, Massachusetts.

Present were Avard Craig, Dennis; Peter Freeman, Barnstable; Steve Carmel, Sandwich; Christopher Miner, Orleans; Robert G. Brown, Commission Counsel; Marjorie Landers, Appellant; and several interested members of the public.

The Committee's decision had been filed with the Town Clerk on February 1, 1993, and the appeal entered with the Commission on February 1, 1993, within the 10 day appeal period.

THE APPELLANT'S PRESENTATION:

Marjorie Landers, Appellant, addressed the Commission in favor of the appeal. She stated to the Commission that the stone wall in question would be out of character with the Historic District in general and with this neighborhood in particular. She stated that there are no stone walls such as this in the neighborhood. She said that there are stone walls but they are retaining walls and serve a purpose which this one will not.

TOWN CLERK
TOWN OF SANDWICH

JUN 16 1993

-1-

JB H *12:15* P M
RECEIVED & RECORDED

THE COMMITTEE'S PRESENTATION:

Steve Carmel, representing the Sandwich Committee, addressed the Commission to explain the Sandwich Committee's reasons for approval. He stated that the Sandwich Committee approved a 36 inch wall with a 4 foot column on either side of the property. He said there will be no lights on the columns. He said that stone walls are in intrinsic part of the Historic District and the Guidelines of the Historic District state that they should be encouraged. He said that the stone wall would be very appropriate for that setting.

DISCUSSION:

In the discussion portion of the hearing, residents of the neighborhood said that the view of the stone wall from the road will make the stone wall look much higher than it really is.

FINDINGS:

The Commission made the following Findings of Fact:

1. That the the Sandwich Committee did not act in an arbitrary, capricious or erroneous manner in approving the stone wall. 3-0-1.

DETERMINATION:

Based on the above findings the appeal is denied. 3-0-1.

Any person aggrieved by this decision has a right to appeal to the District Court Department, Barnstable Division, within 20 days of the filing of this decision with the Sandwich Town Clerk.



Christopher Miner
Chairman